## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

TQP DEVELOPMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 2:11-CV-248-JRG-RSP

CONSOLIDATED

1-800-FLOWERS.COM, INC, et al.,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### **VERDICT FORM**

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the Charge of the Court.

### **QUESTION 1**

Did TQP Development, LLC ("TQP") prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Newegg Inc. ("Newegg") directly infringed any of the asserted claims of the '730 Patent?

## Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim:

'730 Patent	Infringed?
Claim 1	Yas
Claim 6	45
Claim 8	40
Claim 9	925

#### QUESTION 2

Did TQP prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Newegg induced its customers to infringe any of the asserted claims of the '730 Patent?

# Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim:

'730 Patent	Induced Infringement?
Claim 1	yes
Claim 6	- Les
Claim 8	1 As
Claim 9	Res
	Jes

## **QUESTION 3**

Did Newegg prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '730 Patent are invalid?

## Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim:

'730 Patent	Invalid?
Claim 1	No
Claim 6	
Claim 8	No
Claim 9	No

For any claim you find infringed and not invalid, answer Question 4. If you find that no claim was both infringed and not invalid, then do not answer Question 4.

## **QUESTION 4**

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate TQP for its damages resulting from Newegg's infringement of the '730 Patent?

\$				
	INS	FRUCTION: P	lease sign this verdict form.	
D 4 EEE	11/25	11		